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#### Abstract

The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of cyclobutanones undergoes oxidative addition to a T-shape rhodium(I) complex possessing a PBP pincer ligand at room temperature. The remarkable propensity of the rhodium complex for oxidative addition is attributed to the highly electrondonating nature of the boron ligand as well as the unsaturation on the rhodium center.


Selective activation of nonpolar $\sigma$-bonds between two elements of similar electronegativities, like $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ bonds, has gained increasing attention in organic chemistry. Whereas a wide variety of transition metal-mediated or -catalyzed reactions cleaving $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds have been developed in the past decade, examples of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage with transition metal complexes are much fewer, probably due to the kinetic inertness of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds. Considerably harsh reaction conditions are generally required for their cleavage. Herein, we report a striking example of oxidative addition of a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond to rhodium(I) that occurs even at room temperature.

We recently reported the synthesis of an infinitely networked T-shape 14-electron rhodium(I) complex 1 possessing a PBP pincer ligand (Figure 1). ${ }^{3}$ It showed a remarkable reactivity at


Figure 1. Structure of rhodium complex 1.
the rhodium center to insert into $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of phenols and primary alcohols through a rapid dissociation of its polymeric form into the corresponding monomeric form of T-shape complex. This reactivity suggested that the strongly electrondonating boryl ligand ${ }^{4}$ enhanced the electron density on the rhodium center to facilitate its insertion into the polar $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. We next examined the reactivity toward nonpolar strained $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds. Thus, $[\mathrm{PBP}] \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{OTf})$ complex was initially treated with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{Li}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ under an argon atmosphere. The resulting [PBP] $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ complex 1 was treated with cyclobutanone 2, and the mixture was stirred at room

Scheme 1. Reaction of Cyclobutanone 2 with 1

temperature for 72 h (Scheme 1). Rhodium carbonyl complex 3 (79\% NMR yield) was generated along with cyclopropane 4 ( $81 \%$ NMR yield). No intermediary complexes-except for the starting rhodium complex 1 and the resulting carbonyl complex 3-were observed by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR analysis during the course of the decarbonylation reaction.

The formation of $\mathbf{3}$ and 4 from 1 and 2 is explained by assuming a stepwise mechanism depicted in Scheme 2. The

Scheme 2. Plausible Mechanism for the Formation of 3 and 4 from 1 and 2

carbonyl group of 2 initially coordinates to the electron-rich and coordinatively unsaturated rhodium center of 1 . The $\sigma$ bond between the carbonyl carbon and its $\alpha$-carbon undergoes oxidative addition onto the rhodium(I) center to generate the five-membered ring acylrhodium(III) species $A$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group of A migrates onto rhodium with a carbonyl ligand left out to form four-membered ring rhodacyclobutane B. Reductive elimination gives rise to rhodium carbonyl complex 3 and cyclopropane 4. The oxidative addition is suggested to be
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the rate-determining step, and the ensuing steps would follow spontaneously. The hexa-coordinated complex B would be thermodynamically unstable due to the repulsive force which the four $t$-Bu groups extend to the other ligands. In contrast, the tetra-coordinated complex 3 is less congested and gain stabilization due to $\pi$-back-donation from Rh to CO ligand, and therefore, would be thermodynamically far more stable. This would provide a major driving force for reductive elimination of cyclopropane.

An analogous decarbonylation reaction of cyclobutanones is mediated by various rhodium(I) complexes bearing $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ or NHC ligands. ${ }^{5,6}$ It generally requires refluxing in toluene or in xylene, even when a stoichiometric amount of a rhodium(I) complex is used. The [PBP]Rh complex $\mathbf{1}$ is apparently more active. We assume that the strongly $\sigma$-donating nature of the boryl ligand ${ }^{7}$ as well as the unsaturation on the metal center ${ }^{8}$ facilitates the intrinsically sluggish oxidative addition of the CC $\sigma$-bond.

We next examined the reaction of 1 with benzocyclobutenone 5. Again, oxidative addition occurred at room temperature and single crystals of the resulting complex were successfully obtained using a benzene/hexane solution for recrystallization. An X-ray crystallographic analysis revealed that the bond between the carbonyl carbon and the $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \alpha$-carbon was siteselectively cleaved to afford five-membered acylrhodium(III) complex 6 (Scheme 3, Figure 2). Although an analogous

Scheme 3. Stoichiometric Reaction of Rhodium Complex 1 with Benzocyclobutenone 5


Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of 6 (50\% thermal ellipsoid, hydrogen atoms and one of two independent molecules are omitted for clarity) Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) as averages: B-Rh 2.044, $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}($ acyl $) 1.955, \mathrm{C}($ acyl $)=\mathrm{O} 1.212$, $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}($ benzyl $) 2.213, \mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}$ $2.3588, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 1.430, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}($ benzyl) $174.89, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}$ 151.10, N-B-N 105.4.
reaction of benzocyclobutenone with $\mathrm{ClRh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ has been reported by Liebeskind et al., ${ }^{9}$ the reaction requires heating at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and oxidative addition occurs with both the $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})-$ $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$ bonds to afford a mixture of isomeric products. Thus, the PBP pincer ligand not only accelerates the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ oxidative addition but also confers the siteselectivity probably due to the bulky $\mathrm{P}(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{2}$ groups. Whereas the assumed intermediate A underwent elimination of CO and
reductive elimination in the reaction of 2, the complex 6 failed to go through those steps. We presume that this difference arose because the possible reductive elimination product resulting from the complex $\mathbf{6}$ is energetically too high.

Two independent molecules of complex 6 with almost identical structure were contained in the asymmetric unit. The acyl ligand is located on the apical position of a distorted square pyramidal structure. A vacant coordination site located trans to the acyl ligand is effectively blocked by the two bulky $t$ - Bu groups in the pseudoaxial positions. The Rh-B [av. $2.044 \AA$ ] and $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}$ [av. $2.3588 \AA$ ] bonds of $\mathbf{6}$ are slightly longer than those of $\mathbf{1}\left[\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{B}, 1.948(3) \AA\right.$; Rh-P, av. $2.2958 \AA$ ], ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$ probably due to the steric repulsion between the rhodabenzocyclopentenone moiety and PBP ligand. Whereas the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ (av. $1.212 \AA$ ) and $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}($ benzoyl $)(\mathrm{av} .1 .995 \AA$ ) lengths are within their typical values, ${ }^{9,10}$ the $\mathrm{Rh}-\left(\eta^{1}\right.$-benzyl) bond (av. $2.213 \AA$ ) located trans to boron is considerably longer than typical $\mathrm{Rh}-$ ( $\eta^{1}$-benzyl) bonds, ${ }^{9,11}$ clearly indicating a strong trans influence of the boryl ligand.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ showed two signals of the $t$ Bu groups. This magnetic inequivalency is consistent with its $C_{s}$-symmetrical structure in the solid state. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum exhibits a resonance assigned to the carbonyl carbon at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 211.6$ with two distinct couplings of ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{RhC}}(\mathrm{d}, 33 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and ${ }^{2} J_{P C}(t, 5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The signal of the methylene carbon derived from benzocyclobutenone was observed at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 23.5$ with couplings of ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{RhC}}(\mathrm{d}, 26 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{~Hz})$. In the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum, a doublet signal ( $\delta_{\mathrm{P}} 93.7$ ) with ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{RhP}}$ of 139 Hz was observed. The coupling constant is smaller than that of $\mathbf{1}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{RhP}}=192 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, which reflects weaker $\pi$-back-donation from the Rh (III) center than that from $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ nucleus resonated at around 53 ppm . This value is similar to those of $[\mathrm{PBP}] \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})$ and $[\mathrm{PBP}] \mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ rather than those of $[\mathrm{PBP}] \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{Cl})$ and $[\mathrm{PBP}] \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{OTf})$, which possess interaction between boron and hydride atoms. ${ }^{3 a}$ The strong signal observed at 1639 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum was similar to those of the related acylrhodium complexes, in accordance with $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}}$ values predicted by DFT calculation. ${ }^{12}$

The reactivity of various alcohols toward the rhodium complex 1 was examined in our previous study. ${ }^{3 a}$ Whereas primary alcohols underwent oxidative addition, secondary alcohols such as cyclohexanol and 2-propanol failed to react with 1. Much to our surprise, 3,3-diphenylcyclobutanol 7, slightly smaller than cyclohexanol because of the strained fourmembered ring, facilely reacted with 1 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at room temperature to generate the new rhodium hydride complex 9 together with unidentified byproducts (Scheme 4). This is the first example of oxidative addition of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ linkage of secondary alcohols to 1 . Furthermore, the ring-opened alkane 11 ( $10 \%$ ) was generated together with rhodium carbonyl complex 3 ( $16 \%$ ) upon heating at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{13}$ It is assumed that the rhodium(III) hydride complex 9 undergoes $\beta$-hydride elimination to form rhodium(I) dihydrogen complex C. ${ }^{14}$ Subsequent oxidative addition affords (dihydrogen)rhodacyclopentanone intermediate $\mathbf{D}$. The carbon-rhodium bond of $\mathbf{D}$ is hydrogenolyzed to produce E. Migratory elimination of a carbonyl group forms $F$ and subsequent reductive elimination gives 3 and 11. The reaction with $N$ toluenesulfonyl azetidinol proceeded more cleanly to afford carbonyl complex 3 (75\%) and dimethylamide 12 (65\%).

In summary, we disclose that a thermally stable $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of cyclobutanones was cleaved, even at room temperature, by oxidative addition onto T-shape [PBP]Rh complex 1. Crystallo-

Scheme 4. Reaction of 1 with sec-Alcohols 7 and 8

graphic analysis of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ cleaved product revealed its distorted square pyramidal structure with the acyl ligand on the apical position in the solid state. This work demonstrates that T-shape [PBP]Rh complex 1 possesses a high propensity for oxidative addition of nonpolar $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$-bonds as well as polar $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds.
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